

[REDACTED]  
From:

Sent:

02 February 2007 16:27

To:

Cc:

Subject:

Donovan - Sunday Times

[REDACTED]  
This is a heads up. I understand from the Group media office that the Sunday Times has picked up the Sakhalin/drilling leaked e-mail story from Donovan's website.

They are responding with agree Qs and As that have been used previously with the Guardian, but are first trying to kill the story by pointing out that is old news - slim chance that this will work. They will not comment on Donovan or the website of course.

If I get more detail from them I will forward it

Regards  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Tel: [REDACTED] Fax: [REDACTED] Other Tel: [REDACTED]

Email:

Internet: <http://www.shell.com>

[REDACTED]  
From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 03 February 2007 10:22  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fw: Sunday Times on Sakhalin

[REDACTED]  
See below. Following [REDACTED] email, so you are aware that Sunday Times now looks likely to be running a story tomorrow on Donovan and Sakhalin.

SEIC/Shell are also running a breakfast session for key Russian media on Monday designed to talk about the work programme for 2007. Chance that the Donovan news story will give the media a news hook and a chance to rebut if it has legs.

[REDACTED]  
Address: [REDACTED]  
Tel: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Internet: www.shell.com

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
CC: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Sat Feb 03 10:53:40 2007  
Subject: Sunday Times on Sakhalin

[REDACTED] as discussed the Sunday Times is likely to have a story tomorrow linking the Donovans to Sakhalin. As you know the Guardian wrote about this last November. The issue was an email exchange between some SEIC staff on seismic risks which Donovan put onto his website.

I did my best to try and persuade the journalist that this was old news but my sense given that he came to us on Friday afternoon - a classic tactic!) is the story was written and just wanted some comments from us for 'balance'.

We used the same response as developed for the Guardian.

The piece also carries an interview with [REDACTED]. I am told he makes the usual allegations around environment. Using the existing materials I gave a point by point rebuttal on SEIC's performance.